Type I and II Ground Disturbing Categorical Exclusion Action Classification Form

STIP Project No.	
WBS Element	17BP.12.R.95
Federal Project No.	

A. <u>Project Description</u>: (Include project scope and location, including Municipality and County. Refer to the attached project location map and photos.)

This project is an emergency bridge replacement in Division 12 (Structure 010120) to a single bridge on SR 1121 (Hermans Road) over Spring Creek. The bridge is in Alexander County and has a functional class of local, rural.

B. <u>Description of Need and Purpose:</u>

The bridge was constructed in 1960 and was compromised during a heavy rain event in June of 2019. The bridge was washed out completely and is currently closed.

- C. Categorical Exclusion Action Classification:
- D. Proposed Improvements
- 28. Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings, if the actions meet the constraints in 23 CFR 771.117(e)(1-6).
- E. <u>Special Project Information</u>: (Provide a description of relevant project information, which may include: vicinity map, costs, alternative analysis (if any), traffic control and staging, and resource agency/public involvement).

This project is an Emergency Bridge Replacement. The detour has been set and approved by the Division.

F. Project Impact Criteria Checklists:

Type I & II - Ground Disturbing Actions					
FHWA APPROVAL ACTIVITIES THRESHOLD CRITERIA					
If any of questions 1-7 are marked "yes" then the CE will require FHWA approval.					
1	Does the project require formal consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)?				
2	Does the project result in impacts subject to the conditions of the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGPA)?		\boxtimes		
3	Does the project generate substantial controversy or public opposition, for any reason, following appropriate public involvement?		\boxtimes		
4	Does the project cause disproportionately high and adverse impacts relative to low-income and/or minority populations?		\boxtimes		
5	Does the project involve a residential or commercial displacement, or a substantial amount of right of way acquisition?		\boxtimes		
6	Does the project require an Individual Section 4(f) approval?		X		
7	Does the project include adverse effects that cannot be resolved with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) or have an adverse effect on a National Historic Landmark (NHL)?				
If any of questions 8 through 31 are marked "yes" then additional information will be required for those questions in Section G.					
Other Considerations			No		
8	Does the project result in a finding of "may affect not likely to adversely affect" for listed species, or designated critical habitat under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)?	\boxtimes			
9	Is the project located in anadromous fish spawning waters?		\boxtimes		
10	Does the project impact waters classified as Outstanding Resource Water (ORW), High Quality Water (HQW), Water Supply Watershed Critical Areas, 303(d) listed impaired water bodies, buffer rules, or Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV)?		\boxtimes		
11	Does the project impact waters of the United States in any of the designated mountain trout streams?		\boxtimes		
12	Does the project require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Individual Section 404 Permit?		\boxtimes		
13	Will the project require an easement from a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licensed facility?		\boxtimes		
14	Does the project include a Section 106 of the NHPA effects determination other than a no effect, including archaeological remains?		\boxtimes		

Other Co	onsiderations (continued)	Yes	No
15	Does the project involve hazardous materials and/or landfills?		\boxtimes
16	Does the project require work encroaching and adversely affecting a regulatory floodway or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to Executive Order 11988 and 23 CFR 650 subpart A?		\boxtimes
17	Is the project in a Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) county and substantially affects the coastal zone and/or any Area of Environmental Concern (AEC)?		\boxtimes
18	Does the project require a U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) permit?		\boxtimes
19	Does the project involve construction activities in, across, or adjacent to a designated Wild and Scenic River present within the project area?		\boxtimes
20	Does the project involve Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) resources?		\boxtimes
21	Does the project impact federal lands (e.g. U.S. Forest Service (USFS), USFWS, etc.) or Tribal Lands?		\boxtimes
22	Does the project involve any changes in access control?		\boxtimes
23	Does the project have a permanent adverse effect on local traffic patterns or community cohesiveness?		\boxtimes
24	Will maintenance of traffic cause substantial disruption?		\boxtimes
25	Is the project inconsistent with the STIP or the Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO's) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (where applicable)?		\boxtimes
26	Does the project require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act, the Federal Aid in Fish Restoration Act, the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with public-use money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property?		\boxtimes
27	Does the project involve Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) buyout properties under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)?		\boxtimes
28	Does the project include a <i>de minimis</i> or programmatic Section 4(f)?		\boxtimes
29	Is the project considered a Type I under the NCDOT's Noise Policy?		\boxtimes
30	Is there prime or important farmland soil impacted by this project as defined by the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)?		\boxtimes
31	Are there other issues that arose during the project development process that affected the project decision?		\boxtimes

G. Additional Documentation as Required from Section F

8. The Northern Long-Eared Bat (NLEB) is listed as a threatened species on the current U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) list of protected species in Alexander County. However, the project study area is not located within a county or watershed known to contain NLEB hibernation or maternity roost sites. Therefore, the project has met the criteria required for the USFWS 4(d) Rule, and any associated take is exempt. Due to the exemption under the 4(d)

ruling, it has been Affect" the NLEB.	determined	that the	proposed	project	"May Affect,	Not Likely	to Adversely

H. <u>Project Commitments</u>

Alexander County
Division 12
Federal Project No.
WBS No. 17BP.12.R.95
TIP No.

I. Categorical Exclusion Approval STIP Project No. 17BP.12.R.95 **WBS Element** Federal Project No. Prepared By: DocuSigned by: Jessica Earley 7/29/2019 Jessica Earley, PE, Project Management Unit—Priority Projects Date North Carolina Department of Transportation North Carolina Department of Transportation **Prepared For:** Reviewed By: DocuSigned by: atricia Beam 7/30/2019 Date Patricia Beam, Division 12 Environmental Supervisor North Carolina Department of Transportation If all of the threshold questions (1 through 7) of \boxtimes **Approved** Section F are answered "no," NCDOT approves this Categorical Exclusion. If any of the threshold questions (1 through 7) of Certified Section F are answered "yes," NCDOT certifies this Categorical Exclusion.

8/5/2019 Date — Docusigned by: Steven Rackley

Steve Rackley, PE Division 12 Bridge Manager On behalf of Mark Stafford, PE Division 12 Engineer North Carolina Department of Transportation

FHWA Approved: For Projects Certified by NCDOT (above), FHWA signature required.

Date

John F. Sullivan, III, PE, Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration